

VSP Public Comment

From: billsimpich@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2005 5:19 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs
Cc: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

I believe we should go back to a complete paper ballot system. Canada does it, and very well, with less opportunities for fraud, less cost, less time, more accuracy,

In the meantime, forget the Proposed Diebold System:

Small Type on Gas Pump Type Receipts
Multiple Votes On A Roll
Unstable Thermal Paper
Difficult to hand recount

And go with the Citizen Proposed Requirements:
At least 12 point Type
Separate sheet for each vote record
Permanent ink record
Recount friendly format

Bill Simpich

Mr. Bill Simpich
2852 Folsom
San Francisco, CA 94110

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.

